REMARKS

I. Status of Application

A Final Office Action was mailed January 25, 2008. However, the Examiner called

Applicant's representative, Joseph Herndon, on February 13, 2008 to inform the Applicant that

the indication of a Final Office Action was in error, and that the communication was a Non-Final

Office Action.

Applicant requested an Interview to discuss the rejection of all pending claims 1-3, 5 and

7-15 over U.S. Patent No. 7,158,625 (Casaccia) as stated within the Office Action Mailed

January 25, 2008. However, the Examiner then informed Applicant that the Office Action

mailed January 25, 2008 had been withdrawn and that a new non-final Office Action had been

mailed.

The Office mailed a non-final Office Action on March 12, 2008, which is stated to be a

supplemental action to the Final Office Action dated January 25, 2008. The Office Action

mailed March 12, 2008 further states that Applicant's arguments filed in the response mailed

December 17, 2007 had been acknowledged and considered but moot in view of the new grounds

of rejection. Applicant responds to the present non-final Office Action mailed March 12, 2008

with the understanding that the Final Office Action mailed January 25, 2008 has been

withdrawn.

In the Office Action mailed March 12, 2008, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 9 and 12

under 35 U.S.C. § 112, and rejected all pending claims 1-3, 5 and 7-15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

as being unpatentable over Bond (U.S. Pub. 2005/0047389) in view of Somekh (U.S. Pub.

2003/0123466).

II. Response to Rejection of Claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 9 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 9, and 12, the Examiner stated that while "completion of the setup

can only be carried out upon receiving the SIP 200 OK message, it seems that the claim

languages state otherwise. Again, the completion of the setup can be only carried out if and only

if the SIP 200 OK message has been received from the terminating station, not upon receiving

the cancellation message. In a way, whether receiving a cancellation message or not from the

originating station, the completion of setting up conference leg is carried out regardless. The

claim languages, therefore, are not clear." (Office Action, p. 2).

Applicant disagrees with the Examiner. It is true that the conference leg between the

conference server and the terminating station will be completed upon receiving the agreement

message (e.g., SIP 200 OK) from the terminating station and following by sending an

acknowledgement message (e.g., SIP ACK) from the server to the terminating station. However,

the claim language is clear in that the cancellation message triggers "sending a teardown

message from the conference server to the terminating station to tear down the conference leg

between the conference server and the terminating station." However, to tear down the

conference leg, the conference leg must first be setup. Thus, as recited in claim 1, in response to

the cancellation message, two steps occur: "(i) completing setup of the conference leg between

the conference server and the terminating station and (ii) then sending a teardown message from

the conference server to the terminating station to tear down the conference leg between the

conference server and the terminating station."

This differs from the conventional technique described in the patent application in which

the cancellation message would be ignored if received prior to completing setup of the

conference leg. (See Background of Specification, pages 3-4).

Applicant asserts that the claim language is clear and recites concise message flows

between the originating station, the conference server, and the terminating station. Applicant

requests withdraw of the present § 112 claim rejections.

III. Response to Rejection of Claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Examiner rejected all pending claims 1-3, 5 and 7-15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Bond (U.S. Pub. 2005/0047389) in view of Somekh (U.S. Pub.

2003/0123466).

Enclosed is a Declaration Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.131 along with supporting exhibits.

The Declaration operates to swear behind Bond. More specifically, the Declaration states that

the claimed invention was conceived at least prior to September 3, 2003 (i.e., the earliest

possible 102(e) date of Bond).

Subsequently, the inventors were reasonably diligent in reducing the invention to practice

from prior to September 3, 2003 to the filing of the patent application on October 9, 2003. The

inventors were in communication with the Attorneys preparing the application to provide

explanatory details of the invention, and comments on drafts of the application during this time.

The dates on the Exhibits to the enclosed Declaration have been redacted; however, the dates

demonstrate that the inventors and Attorneys worked with reasonable diligence on the

application during the continuous period from prior to September 3, 2003 to October 9, 2003.

In addition, the invention was conceived and reduced to practice in the United States.

Accordingly, because the present invention was invented before the earliest 102(e) date of Bond

and subsequently reduced to practice in a diligent manner, the Bond reference cannot be applied

as prior art against the present application. Thus, the rejection of the pending claims based upon

the Bond reference should be withdrawn.

IV. Conclusion

Applicant submits that all of the pending claim rejections have been overcome.

Applicant therefore respectfully requests withdraw of the pending claim rejections and that a

Notice of Allowance be given on the pending claims. The Examiner is invited to call the

undersigned at (312) 913-3331 with any questions or comments.

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP

Date: <u>June 11, 2008</u>

By: ___/Joseph A. Herndon/

Joseph A. Herndon

Reg. No. 50,469